## **DEVELOPMENT AND CONSERVATION CONTROL COMMITTEE**

## **WEDNESDAY, 7 SEPTEMBER 2005**

#### **DECISIONS**

Set out below is a summary of the decisions taken at the meeting of the Development and Conservation Control Committee held on Wednesday, 7 September 2005. The wording used does not necessarily reflect the actual wording that will appear in the minutes.

If you have any queries about any matters referred to in this decision sheet please contact Ian Senior.

# 1. S/1017/05/F - LITTLE EVERSDEN

Delegated approval for the reasons set out in the report from the Director of Development Services, subject to relocation of a window, appropriate landscaping and other safeguarding Conditions. Members did not consider a site visit to be necessary.

#### 2. S/1290/05/F - HASLINGFIELD

Delegated Refusal/Delegated approval, subject to the Trees and Landscape Officer being satisfied that the walnut tree can be safeguarded. Reasons: need to preserve character of the area.

## 3. S/1459/05/F - LINTON

Approval contrary to the report. Reason: the well-screened proposal will not adversely affect the character or appearance of the area. The proposal therefore complies with Policies P7/6 of the Cambridgeshire and Peterborough Structure Plan 2003 and EN30 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2004.

## 4. S/1220/05/F - PAPWORTH EVERARD

Refused contrary to report. Reasons: inappropriate density and insufficient public open space contrary to policies in the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2004. A further reason for refusal would be investigated in relation to traffic flows, subject to clarification from the Local Highways Authority.

## 5. S/2339/04/F - SAWSTON

Refused contrary to report. Reasons: inadequate parking, and inability to accommodate soft landscaping at the same time as wheeled bin access along the western boundary. Members had visited the site.

#### 6. S/1342/05/F - GUILDEN MORDEN

Delegated approval subject to Conditions securing access to and the privacy of the adjacent cottage.

## 7. S/1334/05/F - GREAT SHELFORD

Approval contrary to report. Reason: no adverse impact on character of the locality. Hence, the proposal was in compliance with Policy SE2 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2004. Members had visited the site.

## 8. S/1365/05/F - GREAT WILBRAHAM

Withdrawn from the agenda.

#### 9. S/1410/05/F - LITTLE WILBRAHAM

Approval contrary to the report, subject to agreement on the inclusion of more sympathetic windows and a conservation rooflight. Reason: no adverse impact on the adjacent property. Hence, it complies with Policy HG12 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2004. Members had visited the site and the garden of 51 High street.

#### 10. S/1137/05/RM - THRIPLOW

Approval for the reasons set out in the report from the Director of Development Services, subject to the Conditions therein and additional Conditions requiring protection of the Second World War pill box, and a noise attenuation scheme, if not already covered by the outline planning permission.

## 11. S/1386/05/F - WHITTLESFORD

Refused as report, on the Chairman's casting vote.

## 12. S/1407/05/O - OVER

Approval contrary to report. Reason: single dwelling is sensitive to character of the village and locality and satisfies the requirements of Policy SE3 of the South Cambridgeshire Local Plan 2004.

## 13. S/2249/04/F - OVER

Approval as report.

#### 14. S/1306/05/F - BASSINGBOURN

Delegated approval, subject to a revised design being sought, and that revision being acceptable to the two local Members.

## 15. S/1265/04/F - BOURN

Refused as report.

#### 16. S/0712/05/F - CAXTON

Delegated approval as report.

## 17. S/0572/05/O - HIGHFIELDS CALDECOTE

Approval as report.

#### 18. S/1426/05/F - CALDECOTE

Refused contrary to the report. Reason: Bulk of proposal and overbearing impact on the garden of no. 14a West Drive.

## 19. S/2529/04/LB & S/2530/04/F - COMBERTON

Refused contrary to report. Reason: detrimental impact on the listed building and The Causeway.

### 20. S/1339/05/O - COTTENHAM

Delegated refusal, subject to the confirmation of measurements in relation to Reason 1 for refusal set out in the report.